| | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|--|--|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | 10/056,212 | MULLIGAN |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | John S. Chu | 1752 |
| All Participants: Status of Application: | | |
| (1) <u>John S. Chu</u> . | (3) | |
| (2) <u>Sean Mahoney</u> . | (4) | |
| Date of Interview: 16 April 2004 + 7 April 2004 | Time: | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ N If Yes, provide a brief description: | Applicant's representative) o | |
| Part I. | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: Rejection over Kurisaki and a potential rejection over Sc. | hupp et al | |
| Claims discussed: 34 and 37 | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: KURISAKI and SCHUPP et al | | |
| Part II. | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE See Continuation Sheet | GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT | WAS DISCUSSED: |
| Part III. | | |
| ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sequirectly resulted in the allowance of the applicat of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sequid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief sequired | ion. The examiner will provide a parate record of the substance of | written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| (Examiner/SPE Signature) (A | pplicant/Applicant's Representati | ive Signature – if appropriate) |

Application No. 10/056,212

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner proposed an Examiner's amendment to claims 34 and 37 to include the acid generator and the colorant into claims 34 and 37 to overcome a rejection over Kurisaki. Schupp et al was cited as a new reference, however the reference fails to anticipate the claimed invention in light of the Examiner's amendment above.